Addendum to 5 October 2025 – Electron Capture and Recycling in a Hyper-Electrification Inertially Stable Thermonuclear Device

8 October 2025
Simon Edwards
Research Acceleration Initiative

Introduction

The concept of hyper-electrification of hydrogen by unconventional X-Ray and Gamma generation in the absence of inertial confinement in order to induce a thermonuclear reaction is likely viable and can be further aided through the incorporation of additional features. Please see 5 October 2025 for primer concerning this topic.

Abstract

Although the high-energy photon generator described on 5 October 2025 would likely generate a quantity of energy on the order needed to induce a thermonuclear reaction, much of the X-Ray and Gamma generated would be wasted as hydrogen makes a poor photovoltaic converter. Advantageously, Gamma readily converts into electrons regardless of the conversion media (thus the term 'ionizing radiation,') however, even with a design in which the entire mechanism sits inside of a hydrogen bath, much of the photons would pass through the hydrogen.

For a more complete utilization of energy, both the hydrogen tank and the bomb casing should be composed of a material which will more efficiently convert photons into electrons and wiring should be incorporated which is capable of conveying those electrons back to the hydrogen canister.

Ideally, a hydrogen canister should be employed which permits the flow of electrons into the canister but which restricts electrons from flowing outward. Given the voltages involved, there is likely no material which could achieve this, per se.

Therefore, an additional enhancement in the form of composing the hydrogen canister of an alternating series of layers of iron and copper used in conjunction with an outermost layer of pure graphene and/or hexa-boron nitride insulation and an electromagnet used to transfer additional energy into the hydrogen recycled from photovoltaic conversions in the interior of the bomb casing. Therefore, the photons generated by the "Coulomb-Clamped" wires have an opportunity to convert to electrons when they strike the hydrogen, another when they strike the lining of the tank and yet another when they strike the lining of the bomb casing.

Energy recycled from conversions within the bomb casing could be re-introduced by running a wire from the bomb casing's PV material to an additional electromagnet and using that electromagnet to inject the energy through induction (to the iron/copper lining the canister,) with direct escape of the electrons being prevented by the insulating layer.

Experimentally, it may be beneficial to add nano-scale iron particles to the hydrogen bath and to use a physical actuator to invert the hydrogen bath mechanism in the final moment before a detonation in order to diffuse the iron particles throughout the suspension in order to enhance photovoltaic and induction effects both with regard to the initial radiation and the recycled electrons. On the one hand, we do not want to do anything to stifle the fusion reaction, but on the other, we want to ensure that the generated energy is not wasted. Most likely, a very small quantity of iron particles would provide a happy medium between a more dense suspension and no addition of iron particles.

Conclusion

Thermonuclear ignition in the absence of inertial confinement and in the absence of a fission reaction as an X-Ray/Gamma source relies upon the principle of hyper-electrification just as does any thermonuclear reaction, with the primary difference being the scale of time involved. Hyper-electrification in the absence of the use of explosives or fission devices actually opens up the possibility of delivering current through PV and induction effects over longer timescales of about one second. In this case, the entire process starts with the hyper-electrification of a series of simple conductive wires augmented with a mechanism which ensures that electrons may endlessly loop through the wire, generating incredibly intense EM sources predicated upon the predicted formation of electron skyrmions (ibid..)

Provided an efficient design and efforts to recycle waste energy as described above and provided that the overarching goal of adding electrons to a body of liquid hydrogen without permitting their escape may be achieved, such an approach is highly feasible. Provided that the electrical components of the various electromagnets and the individual Coulomb-Clamped wires can be appropriately insulated (both to prevent mishaps with the hydrogen and to ensure the accumulation of the electrons,) directly emplacing the energetic mechanism into the hydrogen bath actually presents the most efficient design option, even if it presents a few engineering challenges involving running wiring into the tank whilst ensuring that there are no leaks of the liquid hydrogen. If excluding oxygen from the final product cannot be guaranteed, the energy-generating mechanism should not be placed directly into the hydrogen canister in order to prevent undesired chemical combustion.

The plausibility of such an approach raises serious questions as to how one would go about preventing the proliferation of such devices. Uranium, which is relatively difficult to refine and difficult to hide, is clearly not an absolute requirement for prompting thermonuclear reactions. While it may be easy to track the movement of uranium and the tools used to refine it, this type of mechanism would be impossible to regulate.

There are also open questions concerning yield. Yield may be less than, equal to or greater than existing devices. If yield is substantially greater, merely testing such a device could be incredibly dangerous. *The use of inertial confinement has yield-mitigating effects which have not been accounted for*, sc. the fact that the kinetic motion of the material not only compresses it, but results in its diffusion over a short timescale. Because these effects were long

considered to be essential to enabling the reaction, they were always analyzed in the context of enhancement and not mitigation of the reaction. In a hyper-electrification thermonuclear device, the fusile material is physically stationary. Should a thermonuclear reaction begin under that circumstance, there would be no force present to, at least immediately, stop the reaction. Although the material would be of a much lower density to begin with, if a reaction could have its inception under that condition of comparatively low particle density, it may self-sustain for an unknown length of time, dramatically and positively affecting the yield vis à vis an inertial confinement device.